

# THE CACCETTA-HÄGGKVIST CONJECTURE AND ADDITIVE NUMBER THEORY

MELVYN B. NATHANSON

**ABSTRACT.** The Caccetta-Häggkvist conjecture states that if  $G$  is a finite directed graph with at least  $n/k$  edges going out of each vertex, then  $G$  contains a directed cycle of length at most  $k$ . Hamidoune used methods and results from additive number theory to prove the conjecture for Cayley graphs and for vertex-transitive graphs. This expository paper contains a survey of results on the Caccetta-Häggkvist conjecture, and complete proofs of the conjecture in the case of Cayley and vertex-transitive graphs.

## 1. MANY EDGES IMPLY SHORT CYCLES

A *finite directed graph*  $G = (V, E)$  consists of a finite set  $V = V(G)$  of vertices and a finite set  $E = E(G)$  of edges, where an edge  $e = (v, v')$  is an ordered pair of vertices. If  $e = (v, v')$  is an edge, then the vertex  $v$  is called the *tail* of  $e$ , and  $v'$  is called the *head* of  $e$ . The *outdegree* of a vertex  $v \in V$ , denoted  $\text{outdeg}_G(v)$ , is the number of edges  $e \in E$  of the form  $(v, v')$ , that is the number of edges with tail  $v$ . The *indegree* of a vertex  $v' \in V$ , denoted  $\text{indeg}_G(v')$ , is the number of edges  $e \in E$  of the form  $(v, v')$ , that is the number of edges with head  $v'$ .

Let  $v$  and  $v'$  be distinct vertices of the finite directed graph  $G$ . A *directed path of length  $\ell$*  in  $G$  from vertex  $v$  to vertex  $v'$  is a sequence of  $\ell$  edges

$$(v_0, v_1), (v_1, v_2), \dots, (v_{\ell-1}, v_\ell)$$

such that  $v = v_0$  and  $v' = v_\ell$ . A *directed cycle of length  $\ell$*  in  $G$  is a sequence of  $\ell$  edges  $(v_0, v_1), (v_1, v_2), \dots, (v_{\ell-1}, v_\ell)$  such that  $v_0 = v_\ell$ . A *loop* is a cycle of length 1, that is, an edge of the form  $(v, v)$ . A cycle of length 2 is called a *digon*, and consists of two edges of the form  $(v_0, v_1)$  and  $(v_1, v_0)$ , where  $v_0 \neq v_1$ . A *directed triangle* is a cycle of length 3 of the form  $(v_0, v_1), (v_1, v_2), (v_2, v_0)$ , where the vertices  $v_0, v_1, v_2$  are distinct.

It is reasonable to expect that a finite directed graph with many edges should have many cycles, and, in particular, should have short cycles. A quantitative expression of this intuition is the following:

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C20, 05C25, 11B13, 11P99.

*Key words and phrases.* Caccetta-Häggkvist conjecture, Cayley graph, vertex-transitive graph, Sidon sets, additive number theory.

This is the text of two talks in the New York Number Theory Seminar on February 9 and February 16, 2006. These notes are based on lectures by Matt DeVos and others at the American Institute of Mathematics (AIM) workshop on the Caccetta-Häggkvist conjecture in Palo Alto on Janaury 30-February 3, 2006, and on discussions with several conference participants. I wish to thank AIM for sponsoring this workshop.

The work of M.B.N. is supported in part by grants from the NSA Mathematical Sciences Program and the PSC-CUNY Research Award Program.

There is a function  $f(r)$  such that if  $G$  is a finite directed graph with  $n$  vertices and if there are at least  $r$  edges going out of every vertex in  $G$ , then  $G$  contains a cycle of length at most  $f(r)n$ .

A theorem of Chvátal-Szemerédi [4] shows that this is true with  $f(r) = 2/(r+1)$ . We start with a simple averaging argument.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a finite directed graph such that  $\text{outdeg}_G(v) \geq r$  for every  $v \in V$ . There exists a vertex  $v_0 \in V$  such that  $\text{indeg}_G(v_0) \geq r$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that every vertex of  $G$  has outdegree at least  $r$ . The number of edges  $|E|$  satisfies the inequality

$$|V|r \leq \sum_{v \in V} \text{outdeg}_G(v) = |E| = \sum_{v \in V} \text{indeg}_G(v) \leq |V| \max\{\text{indeg}_G(v) : v \in V\}$$

and so there exists a vertex  $v_0 \in V$  such that  $\text{indeg}_G(v_0) \geq r$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1** (Chvátal-Szemerédi). *Let  $r$  be a positive integer. If  $G = (V, E)$  is a finite directed graph with  $|V| = n \geq r$  vertices such that  $\text{outdeg}_G(v) \geq r$  for all  $v \in V$ , then  $G$  contains a cycle of length at most  $2n/(r+1)$*

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on  $n$ . If  $n = r$ , then  $G$  is the complete directed graph on  $n$  vertices and contains a loop at each vertex. Let  $n \geq r+1$  and assume that the Theorem holds for all graphs with less than  $n$  vertices. The number  $|E|$  of edges in the graph satisfies the inequality

$$r|V| \leq \sum_{v \in V} \text{outdeg}_G(v) = |E| = \sum_{v \in V} \text{indeg}_G(v)$$

and so there is a vertex  $v_0 \in V$  such that  $\text{indeg}_G(v_0) \geq r$ . Let  $A$  denote the set of vertices  $a \in V$  such that  $(a, v_0) \in E$  and let  $B$  denote the set of vertices  $b \in V$  such that  $(v_0, b) \in E$ . If  $v_0 \in A \cup B$ , then  $(v_0, v_0)$  is an edge and so  $G$  contains a loop, that is, a cycle of length 1. Similarly, if  $v_0 \notin A \cup B$  and  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ , then there is a vertex  $v \in V$  such that  $(v_0, v)$  and  $(v, v_0)$  are both edges in  $G$  and so  $G$  contains a digon, that is, a cycle of length  $2 \leq 2n/(r+1)$ . Therefore, we can assume that the sets  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $\{v_0\}$  are pairwise disjoint.

Since  $|A| \geq r$  and  $|B| \geq r$ , it follows that  $n \geq 2r+1$  and

$$\frac{2n}{r+1} \geq \frac{4r+2}{r+1} \geq 3.$$

Let  $b \in B$  and let  $(b, v)$  be an edge in  $E$ . If  $v = a \in A$ , then  $(v_0, b)$ ,  $(b, a)$ , and  $(a, v_0)$  is a directed triangle in  $G$ , that is, a cycle of length  $3 \leq 2n/(r+1)$ . Therefore, we can also assume that  $v \notin A$  for every edge  $(b, v) \in E$ .

Let  $v \in V \setminus (A \cup \{v_0\})$ . Let  $d_A$  denote the number of edges in  $E$  of the form  $(v, a)$  with  $a \in A$ , let  $d_B$  denote the number of edges in  $E$  of the form  $(v, b)$  with  $b \in B$ , and let  $d_C$  denote the number of edges in  $E$  of the form  $(v, v')$  with  $v' \notin A \cup B$ . Since  $(v, v_0) \notin E$ , it follows that

$$d_A + d_B + d_C = \text{outdeg}_G(v) \geq r.$$

Let  $d' = \min(d_A, |B| - d_B)$ . Choose vertices  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{d'} \in B$  such that  $(v, b_i) \notin E$  for  $i = 1, \dots, d'$ , and let

$$E'(v) = \{(v, b_i) : i = 1, \dots, d'\}.$$

An ordered pair in the set  $E'(v)$  will be called a "new edge." Note that if  $v \in B$ , then  $d_A = 0$  and  $E'(v) = \emptyset$ .

We construct a new graph  $G' = (V', E')$  as follows. Let

$$V' = V \setminus (A \cup \{v_0\}).$$

Then

$$n' = |V'| = |V| - |A| - 1 \leq n - r - 1.$$

Let

$$E'_0 = \{(v, v') \in E : v, v' \in V'\}$$

and

$$E' = E'_0 \cup \bigcup_{v \in V'} E'(v).$$

If  $b \in B$ , then  $\text{outdeg}_{G'}(b) = \text{outdeg}_G(v) \geq r$ . If  $v \in V' \setminus B$ , then  $\text{outdeg}_{G'}(v) = d' + d_B + d_C$ . If  $d' = d_A$ , then  $\text{outdeg}_{G'}(v) = d_A + d_B + d_C \geq r$ . If  $d' = |B| - d_B$ , then  $\text{outdeg}_{G'}(v) = |B| + d_C \geq |B| \geq r$ . Therefore, every vertex in  $G'$  has outdegree at least  $r$ . Since  $|V'| \leq n - r - 1$ , the induction hypothesis implies that  $G'$  contains a cycle  $\mathcal{C}'$  of length

$$\ell' \leq \frac{2|V'|}{r+1} \leq \frac{2(n-r-1)}{r+1}.$$

If  $(v, b)$  is a "new edge" in this cycle, that is, if  $(v, b) \in E(v)$ , then there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $(v, a)$  is an edge in  $E$ , and

$$(1) \quad (v, a), (a, v_0), (v_0, b)$$

is a directed path in  $E$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{C}'$  contains exactly  $m$  new edges. Replacing every new edge  $(v, b)$  in the cycle  $\mathcal{C}'$  with three old edges of the form (1), we obtain a cycle  $\mathcal{C}$  in the original graph  $G$  of length

$$\ell' + 2m \leq \frac{2(n-r-1)}{r+1} + 2m.$$

The vertex  $v_0$  occurs exactly  $m$  times in this cycle, and so the cycle decomposes into  $m$  cycles, and the sum of the lengths of these  $m$  cycles is exactly  $\ell' + 2m$ . This implies that  $G$  contains a cycle of length at most

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\ell' + 2m}{m} &\leq \left(\frac{1}{m}\right) \left(\frac{2(n-r-1)}{r+1} + 2m\right) \\ &= \frac{2n}{m(r+1)} - \frac{2}{m} + 2 \\ &\leq \frac{2n}{r+1}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

For every real number  $t$ , let  $\lceil t \rceil$  denote the smallest integer  $n \geq t$ .

Shen [11] obtained a significant improvement of Theorem 1. He proved that if  $G = (V, E)$  is a finite directed graph with  $|V| = n \geq r$  vertices such that  $\text{outdeg}_G(v) \geq r$  for all  $v \in V$ , then  $G$  contains a cycle of length at most

$$3 \left\lceil \left( \ln \frac{2 + \sqrt{7}}{3} \right) \frac{n}{r} \right\rceil \approx \frac{1.312n}{r}.$$

Caccetta and Häggkvist [3] made a strong assertion about the existence of short cycles in directed graphs with many edges. Their conjecture states:

If  $G$  is a finite directed graph with  $n$  vertices such that every vertex has outdegree at least  $r$ , then the graph contains a directed cycle of length at most  $\lceil n/r \rceil$ .

The *girth* of a graph is the length of the shortest cycle in the graph. We can restate the Caccetta-Häggkvist conjecture as follows: If every vertex in a finite directed graph has outdegree at least  $r$ , then the girth of the graph is at most  $\lceil n/r \rceil$ .

If  $G$  is a finite directed graph such that every vertex is the tail of at least one edge, that is, if  $\text{outdeg}_G(v) \geq 1$  for all  $v \in V$ , then  $G$  contains a directed cycle. This is the case  $r = 1$  of the Caccetta-Häggkvist conjecture. The conjecture has been proved for  $r = 2$  by Caccetta, and Häggkvist [3], for  $r = 3$  by Hamidoune [6], and for  $r = 4$  and 5 by Hoáng and Reed [7]. Shen [11] proved that conjecture holds for all  $r \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 2r^2 - 3r + 1$ . The conjecture has also been proved “up to an additive constant” in the following form: If  $G$  is a finite directed graph with  $n$  vertices such that every vertex has outdegree at least  $r$ , then the girth of  $G$  is at most  $\lceil n/r \rceil + c$ . Chvátal and Szemerédi [4] obtained  $c = 2500$ , Nishimura [9] obtained  $c = 304$ , and Shen [11] obtained  $c = 73$ .

The following example shows that the upper bound in the Caccetta-Häggkvist conjecture is best possible.

**Theorem 2** (Behzad, Chartrand, and Wall [1]). *Let  $r$  be a positive integer. For every integer  $n \geq r$  there is a graph  $G = (V, E)$  with  $|V| = n$  vertices such that  $\text{outdeg}_G(v) \geq r$  for all  $v \in V$  and the girth of  $G$  is exactly  $\lceil n/r \rceil$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $n \geq r$  and  $A = \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ . Consider the additive group  $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z} = \{\overline{0}, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \dots, \overline{n-1}\}$ , where  $\overline{x} = x + n\mathbf{Z}$ . Let  $G = (V, E)$  be the graph whose vertices are the congruence classes in  $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$  and whose edges are the ordered pairs of the form  $(\overline{x}, \overline{x} + \overline{a})$ , where  $\overline{x} \in \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$  and  $a \in A$ . Let

$$(2) \quad (v_0, v_1), (v_1, v_2), \dots, (v_{\ell-1}, v_\ell)$$

be a cycle of length  $\ell$ , where  $v_0 = v_\ell$  and  $v_i = v_{i-1} + \overline{a_i}$  for  $a_i \in A$  and  $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ . Then  $\overline{a_1} + \overline{a_2} + \dots + \overline{a_\ell} = 0$  in the group  $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ , and so

$$a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

Since

$$0 < \ell \leq a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_\ell \leq r\ell$$

it follows that  $r\ell \geq n$  and so  $\ell \geq n/r$ . Therefore,  $\ell \geq \lceil n/r \rceil$  and the girth of the graph  $G$  is at least  $\lceil n/r \rceil$ .

Conversely, let  $n = \ell r - s$ , where  $\ell$  and  $s$  are integers and  $0 \leq s \leq r - 1$ . Then  $\ell = \lceil n/r \rceil \geq 1$ . If  $s = 0$ , let  $a_i = r$  for  $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ . If  $1 \leq s \leq r - 1$ , let  $a_i = r$  for  $i = 1, \dots, \ell - 1$  and  $a_\ell = r - s$ . Then  $a_i \in A$  for  $i = 1, \dots, \ell$  and  $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_\ell = n$ . For any  $\overline{x} \in V = \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ , let

$$v_i = \overline{x} + \overline{a_1} + \overline{a_2} + \dots + \overline{a_i}$$

for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, \ell$ . Then (2) is a cycle in  $G$ , and the girth of  $G$  is at most  $\lceil n/r \rceil$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

### Exercises.

- (1) Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a directed graph. Prove that if  $\text{outdeg}_G(v) = d^+$  for every  $v \in V$  and if  $\text{indeg}_G(v) = d^-$  for every  $v \in V$ , then  $d^+ = d^-$ . The graph  $G$  is called *regular of degree  $d$*  if  $\text{outdeg}_G(v) = \text{indeg}_G(v) = d$  for every  $v \in V$ .

(2) The directed graph  $G = (V, E)$  is *path-connected* if for every pair of distinct vertices  $v, v' \in V$  there is a directed path from  $v$  to  $v'$ . If  $G$  is path-connected, then the *distance* from vertex  $v$  to vertex  $v'$  is the length of the shortest directed path from  $v$  to  $v'$ . The *diameter* of a path-connected graph  $G$  is the maximum distance between two vertices of  $G$ . Prove that if  $G$  is a path-connected directed graph with diameter  $D$  and girth  $g$ , then  $g \leq D + 1$ .

(3) Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a finite directed graph with neither loops nor digons. For every vertex  $v \in V$ , the *first neighborhood*  $N^+(v)$  consists of all vertices  $v'$  such that  $(v, v') \in E$ . The *second neighborhood*  $N^{++}(v)$  is the set of all vertices  $v'' \in V$  such that (i) there is a vertex  $v' \in N^+(v)$  with  $(v, v') \in E$  and  $(v', v'') \in E$ , and (ii)  $v'' \notin N^+(v)$ . Seymour's *second neighborhood conjecture* states that there is a vertex  $v \in V$  such that

$$|N^+(v)| \leq |N^{++}(v)|.$$

Show that the second neighborhood conjecture implies that if  $G$  is a graph with  $n$  vertices such that (i)  $G$  contains no loops and no digons and (ii) every vertex of  $G$  has indegree and outdegree at least  $n/3$ , then the Caccetta-Häggkvist conjecture is true for  $G$ , that is,  $G$  contains a directed triangle. (Hint: Prove that there is a vertex  $v \in V$  such that  $(v'', v) \in E$  for some  $v'' \in N^{++}(v)$ .)

## 2. DIRECTED TRIANGLES IN DIRECTED GRAPHS

An equivalent form of the Caccetta-Häggkvist conjecture is the following: If  $G$  is a finite directed graph with  $n$  vertices such that every vertex has outdegree at least  $n/k$ , then  $G$  contains a directed cycle of length at most  $k$ . If  $k = 1$ , then every vertex has degree  $n$ , so the graph contains loops, which are cycles of length 1.

**Theorem 3** (Caccetta, and Häggkvist [3]). *If  $G$  is a finite directed graph with  $n$  vertices such that every vertex has outdegree at least  $n/2$ , then  $G$  contains a loop or a digon, that is, a directed cycle of length at most 2.*

*Proof.* Suppose that every vertex of  $G$  has outdegree at least  $n/2$ . By Lemma 1, there exists a vertex  $v_0 \in V$  such that  $\text{indeg}_G(v_0) \geq n/2$ . Let  $V' = \{v' \in V : (v', v_0) \in E\}$  and let  $V'' = \{v'' \in V : (v_0, v'') \in E\}$ . Since  $|V'| \geq n/2$  and  $|V''| \geq n/2$ , it follows that the sets  $V'$ ,  $V''$ , and  $\{v_0\}$  cannot be pairwise disjoint. If  $v_0 \in V' \cup V''$ , then  $G$  contains a loop. Otherwise,  $V' \cap V'' \neq \emptyset$ , and  $G$  contains a digon.  $\square$

For  $k = 3$ , the Caccetta-Häggkvist conjecture asserts that if  $G$  has outdegree at least  $n/3$ , then  $G$  contains a cycle of length at most 3, that is, a loop, digon, or triangle. This is a famous unsolved problem in graph theory.

**Theorem 4** (Caccetta, and Häggkvist [3]). *Let*

$$c_0 = \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 0.3820\dots$$

*If  $G = (V, E)$  is a finite directed graph with  $|V| = n$  vertices such that  $\text{outdeg}_G(v) \geq c_0 n$  for all  $v \in V$ , then  $G$  contains a cycle of length at most 3.*

*Proof.* Let  $0 < c < 1$ , and let  $G = (V, E)$  be a directed graph with  $n$  vertices such that  $\text{outdeg}_G(v) \geq cn$  for all  $v \in V$  and  $G$  does not contain a loop, digon, or triangle. We shall prove that  $c < (3 - \sqrt{5})/2$ .

By Lemma 1, the graph  $G$  contains a vertex  $v_0$  such that  $\text{indeg}_G(v_0) \geq cn$ . Let  $A$  be the set of vertices  $a$  such that  $(a, v_0) \in E$ , and let  $B$  be the set of vertices  $b$  such that  $(v_0, b) \in E$ . Then  $|A| \geq cn$  and  $|B| \geq cn$ . If  $v_0 \in A \cup B$ , then  $G$  contains a loop. Similarly, if  $v_0 \notin A \cup B$  and  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ , then  $G$  contains a digon. Therefore, we can assume that the sets  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $\{v_0\}$  are pairwise disjoint.

Let  $G'$  be the complete subgraph of  $G$  induced by  $B$ , that is,  $G'$  is the graph whose vertex set is  $B$  and whose edges are all ordered pairs  $(b, b')$  such that  $b, b' \in B$  and  $(b, b') \in E$ . Since  $|B| < n$ , it follows from the induction hypothesis that if  $\text{outdeg}_{G'}(b) \geq c|B|$  for all  $b \in B$ , then the graph  $G'$  contains a triangle, and so  $G$  contains a triangle. Therefore, we can assume that there is a vertex  $b_0 \in B$  such that  $\text{outdeg}_{G'}(b_0) < c|B|$ . Let  $W$  be the set of all vertices  $w \in V \setminus B$  such that  $(b_0, w) \in E$ . Since  $\text{outdeg}_G(b_0) \geq cn$ , it follows that

$$|W| = \text{outdeg}_G(b_0) - \text{outdeg}_{G'}(b_0) > cn - c|B|.$$

If  $v_0 \in W$ , then  $G$  contains a digon. If  $A \cap W \neq \emptyset$ , then  $G$  contains a triangle. Therefore, we can assume that the sets  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $W$ , and  $\{v_0\}$  are pairwise disjoint subsets of  $V$ , and so

$$n \geq |A| + |B| + |W| + 1 > 2cn + (1 - c)|B| + 1 > 3cn - c^2.$$

This implies that

$$c^2 - 3c + 1 > 0$$

and so

$$c < \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

Therefore, if  $c \geq (3 - \sqrt{5})/2$ , then  $G$  contains a cycle of length at most 3.  $\square$

The constant  $c$  in Theorem 4 has been reduced by Bondy [2], who obtained

$$c_0 = \frac{2\sqrt{6} - 3}{5} \approx 0.3798$$

and by Shen [10], who obtained

$$c_0 = 3 - \sqrt{7} = 0.3542\dots$$

### 3. KEMPERMAN'S THEOREM FOR NONABELIAN GROUPS

In the following sections we shall prove the Caccetta-Häggkvist conjecture for two important classes of finite directed graphs: Cayley graphs and vertex-transitive graphs. The proof depends on a result of Kemperman that gives an upper bound for the growth of certain subsets of a group.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite group, written multiplicatively, and let  $(A, B)$  be a pair of finite subsets of  $\Gamma$ . The *product set*  $A \cdot B$  is the set

$$A \cdot B = \{ab : a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}.$$

We define the iterated product sets  $B^2 = B \cdot B$  and  $B^k = B \cdot B^{k-1}$  for all  $k \geq 2$ . Then

$$B^k = \{b_1 b_2 \cdots b_k : b_i \in B \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}.$$

We usually write  $AB$  instead of  $A \cdot B$ . For  $x \in \Gamma$  and  $A \subseteq \Gamma$ , let

$$Ax = A\{x\} = \{ax : a \in A\}$$

and

$$xA = \{x\}A = \{xa : a \in A\}.$$

Let  $|X|$  denote the cardinality of the set  $X$ . For any pair  $(A, B)$  of finite subsets of  $\Gamma$ , we define

$$k(A, B) = |A| + |B| - |A + B|.$$

**Theorem 5** (Kemperman [8]). *Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite group and let  $(A, B)$  be a pair of finite subsets of  $\Gamma$  such that*

- (i)  $1 \in A \cap B$
- (ii) *If  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$ , and  $ab = 1$ , then  $a = b = 1$ .*

*Then*

$$|AB| \geq |A| + |B| - 1.$$

*Proof.* Suppose there exist pairs  $(A, B)$  of subsets of  $\Gamma$  such that  $A$  and  $B$  satisfy conditions (i) and (ii), but  $|AB| < |A| + |B| - 1$ . Equivalently,

$$(3) \quad k(A, B) = |A| + |B| - |AB| \geq 2.$$

Consider pairs  $(A, B)$  that have the maximum value of  $k(A, B)$ . Among all such pairs, choose  $(A, B)$  with the minimum value of  $|A|$ .

Since  $1 \in A \cap B$ , it follows that  $AB \supseteq A \cup B$ . If  $A \cap B = \{1\}$ , then  $|AB| \geq |A \cup B| = |A| + |B| - 1$ , which contradicts (3). Therefore, there exists  $x \in A \cap B$  with  $x \neq 1$ . We introduce the sets

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= Ax^{-1} \cap A \\ B_1 &= xB \cup B \\ A_2 &= Ax \cup A \\ B_2 &= x^{-1}B \cap B. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$A_1B_1 \subseteq AB \text{ and } A_2B_2 \subseteq AB.$$

We shall show that  $A_1$  is a proper subset of  $A$ . Note that  $x \in A$ . If  $x^k \in A$  for all positive integers  $k$ , then  $x$  has finite order  $m$  since  $A$  is finite, and so  $x^{m-1} = x^{-1} \in A$ . Since  $x \in B$ , we have  $1 = x^{-1} \cdot x \in AB$  and so  $x = 1$ , which is a contradiction. It follows that there must exist a largest positive integer  $k$  such that  $x^k \in A$ . If  $x^k \in A_1$ , then  $x^k \in Ax^{-1}$  and so  $x^{k+1} \in A$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $x^k \in A \setminus A_1$  and  $|A_1| < |A|$ .

By Exercise 1,

$$A_1x = (Ax^{-1} \cap A)x = A \cap Ax$$

and so

$$|A_1| = |A_1x| = |A \cap Ax|$$

and

$$(4) \quad |A_1| + |A_2| = |A \cap Ax| + |Ax \cup A| = |A| + |Ax| = 2|A|.$$

Similarly,

$$(5) \quad |B_1| + |B_2| = 2|B|.$$

Adding (4) and (5), we obtain

$$(6) \quad (|A_1| + |B_1|) + (|A_2| + |B_2|) = 2(|A| + |B|).$$

We shall show that the pairs  $(A_1, B_1)$  and  $(A_2, B_2)$  also satisfy conditions (i) and (ii). Since  $1 \in A \cap B$  and  $x \in A \cap B$ , it follows that

$$1 \in A_1 \cap B_1 \text{ and } 1 \in A_2 \cap B_2$$

and so the first condition is satisfied.

Suppose that  $a_1 \in A_1$ ,  $b_1 \in B_1$ , and  $a_1 b_1 = 1$ . Then  $b_1 \in B$  or  $b_1 \in xB$ . If  $b_1 \in B$ , then  $a_1 \in A_1 = Ax^{-1} \cap A \subseteq A$  implies that  $a_1 = b_1 = 1$ . On the other hand, if  $b_1 \in xB$ , then  $b_1 = xb$  for some  $b \in B$ . Since  $a_1 \in A_1 \subseteq Ax^{-1}$ , there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $a_1 = ax^{-1}$ . Then  $1 = a_1 b_1 = ax^{-1} xb = ab$ , and so  $a = b = 1$ . It follows that  $a_1 = x^{-1} \in A$ , which is impossible because  $x \in B$  and  $x \neq 1$ . Therefore, the pair  $(A_1, B_1)$  satisfies condition (ii). Similarly,  $(A_2, B_2)$  satisfies condition (ii).

By the maximality of  $k(A, B)$ ,

$$(7) \quad |A_1| + |B_1| - |A_1 B_1| = k(A_1, B_1) \leq k(A, B) = |A| + |B| - |AB|$$

and

$$(8) \quad |A_2| + |B_2| - |A_2 B_2| = k(A_2, B_2) \leq k(A, B) = |A| + |B| - |AB|.$$

Adding and rearranging (7) and (8), we obtain

$$2|AB| \leq |A_1 B_1| + |A_2 B_2|.$$

Since  $A_1 B_1 \subseteq AB$ ,  $A_2 B_2 \subseteq AB$ , we also have

$$|A_1 B_1| \leq |AB| \text{ and } |A_2 B_2| \leq |AB|$$

and so

$$|A_1 B_1| = |A_2 B_2| = |AB|.$$

Therefore,

$$k(A_1, B_1) + k(A_2, B_2) = 2k(A, B).$$

The maximality of  $k(A, B)$  implies that

$$k(A_1, B_1) = k(A_2, B_2) = k(A, B)$$

but this is impossible since the inequality  $|A_1| < |A|$  contradicts the minimality of  $|A|$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a group and let  $B$  be a finite subset of  $\Gamma$  with  $1 \in B$ . If the only solution of the equation  $b_1 b_2 \cdots b_k = 1$  with  $b_i \in B$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$  is  $b_1 = b_2 = \cdots = b_k = 1$ , then*

$$|B^k| \geq k|B| - k + 1.$$

*Proof.* Exercise 2.  $\square$

### Exercises.

- (1) Let  $A$  be a finite subset of a group  $\Gamma$  and let  $x \in \Gamma$ . Prove that  $(Ax^{-1} \cap A)x = A \cap Ax$ .
- (2) Prove Theorem 6 by induction on  $k$ .

## 4. THE CACCETTA-HÄGGKVIST CONJECTURE FOR CAYLEY GRAPHS

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite group, not necessarily abelian. We write the group operation multiplicatively. Let  $A$  be a subset of  $\Gamma$ . The *Cayley graph*  $\text{Cayley}(\Gamma, A)$  is the graph whose vertex set is the group  $\Gamma$ , and whose edge set consists of all ordered pairs of form  $(v, va)$ , where  $v \in \Gamma$  and  $a \in A$ . By Exercise 2, every vertex in  $\text{Cayley}(\Gamma, A)$  has outdegree  $|A|$  and indegree  $|A|$ . Moreover,  $\text{Cayley}(\Gamma, A)$  contains a loop if and only if  $1 \in A$ , and  $\text{Cayley}(\Gamma, A)$  contains a digon if and only if  $\{a, a^{-1}\} \subseteq A$  for some  $a \in \Gamma, a \neq 1$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite group and  $A \subseteq \Gamma$ . The graph  $\text{Cayley}(\Gamma, A)$  contains a directed cycle of length  $\ell$  if and only if  $1 \in A^\ell$ .*

*Proof.* If  $1 \in A^\ell$ , then there exist  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_\ell$  in  $A$  such that  $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_\ell = 1$ . For any  $v_0 \in \Gamma$ , if we define

$$v_i = v_{i-1} a_i = v_0 a_1 a_2 \cdots a_i$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ , then  $v_\ell = v_0$  and

$$(9) \quad (v_0, v_1), (v_1, v_2), \dots, (v_{\ell-1}, v_\ell)$$

is a directed cycle of length  $\ell$  in  $\text{Cayley}(\Gamma, A)$ .

Conversely, if (9) is a directed cycle in  $\Gamma$ , then  $v_0 \in \Gamma$  and there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_\ell \in A$  such that  $v_i = v_{i-1} a_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ . This implies that if  $1 \leq i < j \leq \ell$ , then

$$v_j = v_{i-1} a_i a_{i+1} \cdots a_j.$$

In particular,

$$v_0 = v_\ell = v_0 a_1 a_2 \cdots a_\ell$$

and so

$$1 = a_1 \cdots a_\ell \in A^\ell.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 7** (Hamidoune [5]). *Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite group of order  $n$ , and let  $A$  be a subset of  $\Gamma$  such that  $|A| \geq n/k$ . Then the graph  $\text{Cayley}(\Gamma, A)$  contains a cycle of length at most  $k$ .*

*Proof.* If every cycle in the graph  $\text{Cayley}(\Gamma, A)$  has length greater than  $k$ , then Lemma 2 implies that

$$1 \notin A \cup A^2 \cup \cdots \cup A^k.$$

Let  $B = A \cup \{1\}$ . Then  $|B| = |A| + 1$ . Since the only solution of  $1 = b_1 b_2 \cdots b_k \in B^k$  is  $b_1 = b_2 = \cdots = b_k = 1$ , it follows from Theorem 6 that

$$n = |\Gamma| \geq |B|^k \geq k|B| - k + 1 = k|A| + 1 > k|A|$$

and so  $|A| < n/k$ , which is false. Thus,  $\text{Cayley}(\Gamma, A)$  must contain a cycle of length at most  $k$ .  $\square$

### Exercises.

- (1) Prove that the Cayley graph  $\text{Cayley}(\Gamma, A)$  is path-connected if and only if the semigroup generated by  $A$  is  $\Gamma$ .
- (2) Prove that if  $x$  is a vertex in the graph  $\text{Cayley}(\Gamma, A)$ , then  $\text{indeg}_G(x) = \text{outdeg}_G(x) = |A|$ .

- (3) The sequence of edges (9) is a *simple directed cycle* in  $\Gamma$  if  $v_i \neq v_j$  for  $0 \leq i < j \leq \ell - 1$ . Prove that  $\text{Cayley}(\Gamma, A)$  contains a simple directed cycle of length  $\ell$  if and only if there exists a sequence of elements  $a_1, \dots, a_\ell$  in  $A$  such that  $a_i a_{i+1} \cdots a_j = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq \ell$  if and only if  $i = 0$  and  $j = \ell$ .
- (4) Let  $\Gamma = \langle x \rangle$  be the cyclic group of order  $d(g-1)+1$ , written multiplicatively, and let  $A = \{x, x^2, \dots, x^d\}$ . Prove that the graph  $\text{Cayley}(\Gamma, A)$  is regular of degree  $d$  with girth  $g$ .

### 5. GRAPH AUTOMORPHISMS AND VERTEX-TRANSITIVE GRAPHS

Let  $G = (V, E)$  and  $G' = (V', E')$  be finite directed graphs. The function  $x : V \rightarrow V'$  is a *graph isomorphism* if  $x$  is a bijection and  $(v_1, v_2) \in E$  if and only if  $(x(v_1), x(v_2)) \in E'$ . A *graph automorphism* is a graph isomorphism from  $G$  to  $G$ . The automorphisms of a graph form a group, denoted  $\text{Aut}(G)$ . We denote the action of an automorphism  $x : V \rightarrow V$  on the vertex  $v$  by  $xv$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a group of graph automorphisms of  $G$ , that is, a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$ . The graph  $G$  is called *vertex-transitive with respect to  $\Gamma$*  if, for every pair of vertices  $v, v' \in V$ , there is an automorphism  $x \in \Gamma$  such that  $xv = v'$ . We call  $G$  *vertex-transitive* if it is vertex-transitive with respect to some group of automorphisms. In a vertex-transitive graph,  $\text{outdeg}_G(v) = \text{outdeg}_G(v')$  for all vertices  $v, v' \in V$  (Exercise 1).

For example, every Cayley graph is vertex-transitive. Let  $G = \text{Cayley}(\Gamma, A)$ , where  $\Gamma$  is a finite group and  $A \subseteq \Gamma$ . To every element  $x \in \Gamma$  there is a bijection  $x : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  defined by  $v \mapsto xv$  for all  $v \in \Gamma$ . If  $(v_1, v_2)$  is an edge in  $G$ , then  $v_2 = v_1a$  for some  $a \in A$ , and  $(xv_1, xv_2) = (xv_1, (xv_1)a)$  is also an edge in  $G$ . Thus, the map  $x \mapsto xv$  is an automorphism of  $G$ . In particular, if  $v, v' \in \Gamma$  and  $x = v'v^{-1}$ , then the map  $w \mapsto xw = v'v^{-1}w$  sends  $v$  to  $v'$ , and so  $\text{Aut}(G)$  acts transitively on  $\Gamma$ .

We shall prove that the Caccetta-Häggkvist conjecture is true for all vertex-transitive graphs.

**Theorem 8** (Hamidoune [5]). *Let  $G$  be a vertex-transitive finite directed graph with  $n$  vertices such that  $\text{outdeg}_G(v) = d$  for every vertex  $v$  of  $G$ . Then  $G$  contains a cycle of length at most  $\lceil n/d \rceil$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma$  be a group of automorphisms that acts transitively on the set  $V$  of vertices of a finite directed graph  $G$ . For every vertex  $v \in V$ , the *stabilizer* of  $v$  is the set

$$H_v = \{x \in \Gamma : xv = v\}.$$

$H_v$  is a subgroup of  $\Gamma$ .

Choose a vertex  $v_0 \in V$ , and let  $H_0 = H_{v_0}$ . Since  $G$  is vertex-transitive, there is a set  $\{x_v\}_{v \in V}$  contained in  $\Gamma$  such that  $x_v v_0 = v$  for all  $v \in V$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} H_v &= \{x \in \Gamma : xv = v\} \\ &= \{x \in \Gamma : xx_v v_0 = x_v v_0\} \\ &= \{x \in \Gamma : x_v^{-1} xx_v v_0 = v_0\} \\ &= \{x \in \Gamma : x_v^{-1} xx_v \in H_0\} \\ &= x_v H_0 x_v^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The subgroup  $H_0$  is normal in  $\Gamma$  if and only if  $H_v = H_0$  for all  $v \in V$ .

For all  $x \in \Gamma$ , we have  $xv_0 = v$  if and only if  $xv_0 = x_v v_0$  if and only if  $x_v^{-1}x \in H_0$  if and only if  $x \in x_v H_0$ . Therefore,

$$x_v H_0 = x H_0 = \{x \in \Gamma : xv_0 = v\}.$$

Let

$$\Gamma_0 = \Gamma / H_0 = \{x H_0 : x \in \Gamma\}$$

denote the set of left cosets of  $H_0$ . The map  $\phi : V \rightarrow \Gamma / H_0$  defined by  $v \mapsto x_v H_0$  is a one-to-one correspondence between the vertices of  $G$  and the left cosets of  $H_0$ , and so

$$|\Gamma_0| = |\Gamma / H_0| = |V| = n$$

and

$$|\Gamma| = |\Gamma / H_0| |H_0| = n |H_0|.$$

We can use the left cosets of  $H_0$  to describe the edges in the graph  $G$ . Let

$$A = \{x \in \Gamma : (v_0, xv_0) \in E\}.$$

If  $x \in A$  and  $h \in H_0$ , then  $(v_0, xhv_0) = (v_0, xv_0) \in E$  and so  $xh \in A$ , hence  $xH_0 \subseteq A$ . It follows that  $A$  is a union of left cosets of  $H_0$ . Let

$$A_0 = \{x H_0 : x H_0 \subseteq A\} = \{x H_0 : (v_0, xv_0) \in E\} = \{x_v H_0 : (v_0, v) \in E\}.$$

Then

$$|A_0| = \text{outdeg}_G(v_0) = d$$

and

$$|A| = |A_0| |H_0| = d |H_0|.$$

Since  $\Gamma$  is a group of automorphisms of the graph  $G$ , the ordered pair  $(v, v')$  is an edge of  $G$  if and only if  $(x_v^{-1}v, x_v^{-1}v') = (v_0, x_v^{-1}x_{v'}v_0) \in E$ . Thus,

$$(v, v') \in E \text{ if and only if } x_v^{-1}x_{v'}H_0 \in A_0.$$

Suppose that  $H_0$  is a normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\Gamma_0$  is a group of order  $n$ . The graph  $\text{Cayley}(\Gamma_0, A_0)$  has  $|\Gamma_0| = n$  vertices and  $|A_0| = d$  edges. By Theorem 7,  $\text{Cayley}(\Gamma_0, A_0)$  contains a cycle of length not exceeding  $\lceil n/|A_0| \rceil = \lceil n/d \rceil$ .

We shall show that the graphs  $G$  and  $\text{Cayley}(\Gamma_0, A_0)$  are isomorphic. Recall the bijection  $\phi : V \rightarrow \Gamma_0$  defined by  $\phi(v) = x_v H_0$ . Let  $(v, v') \in E$ , and define  $x = x_v^{-1}x_{v'}$ . Then  $xH_0 = x_v^{-1}x_{v'}H_0 \in A_0$  and  $(x_v H_0)(x H_0) = x_{v'} H_0$ , hence  $(\phi(v), \phi(v')) = (x_v H_0, x_{v'} H_0)$  is an edge in  $\text{Cayley}(\Gamma_0, A_0)$ . Conversely, if  $(\phi(v), \phi(v')) = (x_v H_0, x_{v'} H_0)$  is an edge in  $\text{Cayley}(\Gamma_0, A_0)$ , then there is a coset  $xH_0 \in A_0$  such that  $x_v H_0 x H_0 = x_v x H_0 = x_{v'} H_0$ . It follows that  $x_v^{-1}x_{v'} H_0 \in A_0$  and so  $(v, v') \in E$ . Thus, the map  $\phi : V \rightarrow \Gamma_0 / H_0$  is a graph isomorphism, and so  $G$  contains a cycle of length at most  $n/d$ .

Next we consider the general case when  $H_0$  is not necessarily a normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . The Cayley graph  $\text{Cayley}(\Gamma, A)$  contains  $|\Gamma| = n |H_0|$  vertices, and the outdegree of every vertex is  $|A| = d |H_0|$ . By Theorem 7,  $\text{Cayley}(\Gamma, A)$  has a cycle of length  $\ell$ , where

$$\ell \leq \left\lceil \frac{|\Gamma_0|}{|A|} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{n |H_0|}{d |H_0|} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{n}{d} \right\rceil.$$

By Lemma 2, there exist elements  $a_1, \dots, a_\ell \in A$  such that

$$a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{\ell-1} a_\ell = 1.$$

Let  $v_0 \in \Gamma$  and consider the sequence of vertices  $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_\ell$ , where

$$v_i = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_i v_0$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$ . Then  $(v_0, a_i v_0) \in E$  since  $a_i \in A$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is a group of graph automorphisms, we have

$$(v_{i-1}, v_i) = ((a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1}) v_0, (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1}) a_i v_0) \in E \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$$

and

$$v_\ell = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{\ell-1} a_\ell v_0 = 1 \cdot v_0 = v_0.$$

It follows that

$$(v_0, v_1), (v_1, v_2), \dots, (v_{\ell-1}, v_\ell) = (v_{\ell-1}, v_0)$$

is a cycle in  $G$  of length  $\ell \leq \lceil n/d \rceil$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Exercise.**

(1) If  $G = (V, E)$  is a vertex-transitive graph, then there is an integer  $d$  such that  $G$  is regular of degree  $d$ , that is,  $\text{outdeg}_G(v) = \text{indeg}_G(v) = d$  for all  $v \in V$ .

## 6. ADDITIVE COMPRESSION

Let  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  be finite disjoint sets with  $|V_1| = n_1$  and  $|V_2| = n_2$ , and let  $E \subseteq V_1 \times V_2$ . The graph  $G = (V_1 \cup V_2, E)$  is called a *bipartite graph*. Every edge in  $G$  has its tail in  $V_1$  and its head in  $V_2$ , and so

$$d_1 = \max\{\text{outdeg}_G(v_1) : v_1 \in V_1\} \leq n_2$$

and

$$d_2 = \max\{\text{indeg}_G(v_2) : v_2 \in V_2\} \leq n_1.$$

Let  $\alpha : V_1 \rightarrow \Gamma$  and  $\beta : V_2 \rightarrow \Gamma$  be one-to-one functions from the vertices of  $G$  to a group  $\Gamma$ . We define

$$\alpha(V_1) \stackrel{G}{+} \beta(V_2) = \{\alpha(v_1) + \beta(v_2) : (v_1, v_2) \in E\}.$$

For all bipartite graphs  $G$ , we have

$$(10) \quad |\alpha(V_1) \stackrel{G}{+} \beta(V_2)| \geq \max(d_1, d_2)$$

for every group  $\Gamma$  and all one-to-one maps  $\alpha : V_1 \rightarrow \Gamma$  and  $\beta : V_2 \rightarrow \Gamma$ .

Consider the *complete bipartite graph*  $K_{n_1, n_2} = (V_1 \cup V_2, V_1 \times V_2)$ . We have  $d_1 = \text{outdeg}_G(v_1) = n_2$  for all  $v_1 \in V_1$  and  $d_2 = \text{indeg}_G(v_2) = n_1$  for all  $v_2 \in V_2$ . If  $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}$  and  $\alpha : V_1 \rightarrow \Gamma$  and  $\beta : V_2 \rightarrow \Gamma$  are one-to-one functions, then  $|\alpha(V_1) \stackrel{G}{+} \beta(V_2)| \geq d_1 + d_2 - 1$ . If  $p$  is a prime and  $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ , then the Cauchy-Davenport theorem states that  $|\alpha(V_1) \stackrel{G}{+} \beta(V_2)| \geq \min(d_1 + d_2 - 1, p)$ . In particular, if  $\max(d_1, d_2) \leq p - 1$  and  $\min(d_1, d_2) \geq 2$ , then  $|\alpha(V_1) \stackrel{G}{+} \beta(V_2)| \geq \max(d_1, d_2) + 1$ . One might guess that this is always a lower bound for  $|\alpha(V_1) \stackrel{G}{+} \beta(V_2)|$ , but the following beautiful construction by Josh Greene shows that inequality (10) is best possible.

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be finite subsets of an abelian group  $\Gamma$ . For every  $x \in \Gamma$ , we define the *representation function*

$$r_{A, B}(x) = |\{(a, b) \in A \times B : a + b = x\}|.$$

We construct the bipartite graph  $G = (V_1 \cup V_2, E)$ , where

$$V_1 = -A$$

$$V_2 = A + B$$

and

$$E = \{(-a, a + b) : b \in B\}.$$

For all  $v_1 \in V_1$  and  $v_2 \in V_2$  we have

$$\text{outdeg}_G(v_1) = |B|$$

and

$$\text{indeg}_G(v_2) = r_{A,B}(v_2).$$

Then

$$d_1 = \max\{\text{outdeg}_G(v_1) : v_1 \in V_1\} = |B|$$

and

$$d_2 = \max\{\text{indeg}_G(v_2) : v_2 \in V_2\} = \max\{r_{A,B}(x) : x \in A + B\} \leq |B|.$$

Define  $\alpha : V_1 \rightarrow \Gamma$  by  $\alpha(v_1) = v_1$  and  $\beta : V_2 \rightarrow \Gamma$  by  $\beta(v_2) = v_2$ . Then

$$\alpha(V_1) \xrightarrow{G} \beta(V_2) = B$$

and

$$|\alpha(V_1) \xrightarrow{G} \beta(V_2)| = |B| = \max(d_1, d_2).$$

Greene applied his construction in the following case. Consider the Fermat prime  $p = 257 = 2^{2^3} + 1$  and the finite field  $\Gamma = \mathbf{Z}/257\mathbf{Z}$ . Let

$$A = B = \{0\} \cup \{\pm 2^i : i = 0, 1, \dots, 7\} \subseteq \mathbf{Z}/257\mathbf{Z}$$

and

$$A + A = \{a + a' : a, a' \in A\} \subseteq \mathbf{Z}/257\mathbf{Z}.$$

Then  $|A| = 17$  and  $|A + A| = 105$ . Note that every element of  $A + A$  can be written as the sum of two distinct elements of  $A$ , since  $0 + 0 = 1 + (-1)$ ,  $2^7 + 2^7 = 0 + (-1)$ , and  $2^i + 2^i = 0 + 2^{i+1}$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, 6$ . Therefore,  $r_{A,A}(x) \geq 2$  for all  $x \in A + A$ , and so  $d_1 = 105$  and  $d_2 \geq 2$ .

We conclude with a nice application of Sidon sets. A *Sidon set* is a subset  $A$  of an abelian group such that every element of  $A + A$  has a unique representation as the sum of two elements of  $A$ . Equivalently,  $r_{A,A}(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in A + A$ .

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be an undirected graph, and let  $\alpha : V \rightarrow \Gamma$  and  $\gamma : E \rightarrow \Gamma$  be one-to-one functions from the vertices and edges of  $G$  into a group  $\Gamma$ . Consider the set  $\{\alpha(v) + \gamma(e) : v \in e\}$ . If the maximum degree of a vertex in  $V$  is  $n$ , then  $|\{\alpha(v) + \gamma(e) : v \in e\}| \geq n$ .

**Theorem 9** (Jacob Fox). *Let  $K_n$  denote the complete graph on  $n$  vertices. There are one-to-one functions  $\alpha : V \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  and  $\gamma : E \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  such that  $|\{\alpha(v) + \gamma(e) : v \in e\}| = n$ .*

*Proof.* Denote the vertices of  $K_n$  by  $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  and the edges of  $G$  by  $E = \{e_{i,j} = \{v_i, v_j\} : i, j = 1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  be a Sidon set, and define the functions  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  by  $\alpha(v_i) = -a_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\gamma(e_{i,j}) = a_i + a_j$  for  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $\{\alpha(v) + \gamma(e) : v \in e\} = A$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Mehdi Behzad, Gary Chartrand, and Curtiss E. Wall, *On minimal regular digraphs with given girth*, Fund. Math. **69** (1970), 227–231.
- [2] J. A. Bondy, *Counting subgraphs: a new approach to the Caccetta-Häggkvist conjecture*, Discrete Math. **165/166** (1997), 71–80, Graphs and combinatorics (Marseille, 1995).
- [3] Louis Caccetta and R. Häggkvist, *On minimal digraphs with given girth*, Proceedings of the Ninth Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory, and Computing (Florida Atlantic Univ., Boca Raton, Fla., 1978) (Winnipeg, Man.), Congress. Numer., XXI, Utilitas Math., 1978, pp. 181–187.
- [4] V. Chvátal and E. Szemerédi, *Short cycles in directed graphs*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **35** (1983), no. 3, 323–327.
- [5] Yahya Ould Hamidoune, *An application of connectivity theory in graphs to factorizations of elements in groups*, European J. Combin. **2** (1981), no. 4, 349–355.
- [6] ———, *A note on minimal directed graphs with given girth*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **43** (1987), no. 3, 343–348.
- [7] C. T. Hoàng and B. Reed, *A note on short cycles in digraphs*, Discrete Math. **66** (1987), no. 1–2, 103–107.
- [8] J. H. B. Kemperman, *On complexes in a semigroup*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A. **59** = Indag. Math. **18** (1956), 247–254.
- [9] Tsuyoshi Nishimura, *Short cycles in digraphs*, Proceedings of the First Japan Conference on Graph Theory and Applications (Hakone, 1986), vol. 72, 1988, pp. 295–298.
- [10] Jian Shen, *Directed triangles in digraphs*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **74** (1998), no. 2, 405–407.
- [11] ———, *On the girth of digraphs*, Discrete Math. **211** (2000), no. 1–3, 167–181.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LEHMAN COLLEGE (CUNY), BRONX, NEW YORK 10468  
*E-mail address:* melvyn.nathanson@lehman.cuny.edu